

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,732	03/29/2004		Kevin Girard Conwell	13814	1432
759	90	11/15/2005		EXAM	INER
ORUM & ROTH				NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN	
53 W. JACKSON BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60604				3743	TAI ER NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		e						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/811,732	CONWELL ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Camtu T. Nguyen	3743						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	August 2005.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.							
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
·								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s\/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on August 19, 2005.

No claim als been amended.

Applicant's comments pertaining to the Conwell et al reference applied in the previous Office Action are acknowledged however deemed not persuasive. Applicant is directed to MPEP § 2136.04 (Different Inventive Entity; Meaning of "By Another"). In current situation, reference Conwell et al is prior art because the inventive entity is by "another" in view of CFR 1.48(a), as presented below. The claims are rejected in the previous Office Action stand rejected.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,350,071). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising UV lamp (5) as means for curing UV curable ink on labels (4). Figure 1 illustrates the UV curing station is inside a printer housing (6). Figure 2 illustrates the UV

curing station is attached to the printer housing (6) and is outside the printer housing (6). With regards to the UV curing station attaching to the label rewinder or to other structures as recited in claims 4 and 5, it is a mere functional recitation and a mere statement of intended use. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, the UV curing station is capable of being attached to structures as recited in claims 4 and 5.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525) in view of Lincoln et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising elements as recited in these claims but does not teach a reflector. Lincoln et al discloses in Figure 3a ultraviolet lamp (80) having a reflector (82). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to install reflector as taught by Lincoln et al in Conwell et al's UV lamps as such would direct UV radiation onto labels (4).

Claims 8-11 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525) in view of Ylitalo et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,543,890). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising elements as recited in these claims but does not teach at least one filter. Ylitato et al discloses in Figures 1-8 an

apparatus for radiation curing of ink used in inkjet printing. Figures 1 and 2 illustrates a curing device (16) having at least one or more of source UV radiation including UV lamps (17). Figure 2 further illustrates the UV lamp (17) having a shield (20). Ylitato et al discloses the possibility to modify the UV lamp by adding an infrared filter (column 2 lines 45-51). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the Conwell et al's UV lamp (5) to include a filter as such would reduce the amount of heat reaching the labels.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0537.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Camtu Nguyen November 14, 2005

Hezin Bennet

ow Patent Examiner

C 3700